

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,898	04/30/2001		Ronald J. Kolata	102863-2	4070
21125	7590	01/05/2004	EXAMINER		NER
	MCCLENNEN		RAMANA, ANURADHA		
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON,	BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			3732	
				DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/845,898	KOLATA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•••		Anu Ramana	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-15 and 37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7-15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers	·					
10) 🗌 11) 🗍 Priority (The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
a) 13)□ / s 3 6 14)□ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic seference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the first sentenc	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the specification of the specification application has been received in the specification of the specification application has been received in the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received in Application and the specification of the specification of the specification and the specification of the specification application has been received in Application and the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received in the specification of the specification application and the specification application has been received in the specification and the specification application has been received in the specification application app	on Noed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen		Λ [] (-4··································	(DTO 442) Paner No(a)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/845,898

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Blake (US 5,944,729).

Regarding claims 1, 3-4, 6 and 37, Blake discloses a medical instrument 10 which can be used as a gripping instrument having: a body 34 with tissue grasping claws (26, 26) selectively movable between an open position and a closed position with the tissue grasping claws biased to a closed position; an actuating member with opposed members (22, 22) mated to the body and effective to move the claws between open and closed positions and a flexible member or string 48 for placement, withdrawal or maneuvering of instrument 10 that is selectively fastenable to a support (Figures 1-3, col. 2, lines 30-67, col. 3, lines 1-45).

The method steps of claim 37 are performed during normal operation of the Blake medical instrument for the purpose of gripping tissue or an organ.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blake (US 5,944,729).

Regarding claim 5, although Blake does not disclose that tissue grasping elements (26,26) form a circular shape in the closed position, it would have been an obvious matter of design

Art Unit: 3732

choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the tissue grasping elements with a circular shape, since Applicants have not disclosed that this solves any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing a tissue grasping element in the Blake instrument. *In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPO 47 (1966)*.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6 and 37, under "REMARKS," in Paper No. 13, filed on November 11, 2003, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

AR Anuradha lamara

PRIMARY EXAMINATION